

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/002,276	12/31/1997	SUE HARKINS CRIM	97-500	7642
32127	7590 12/02/2002		,	
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSON 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			BUI, THACH H	
MAILCODE HQEO3HO1 IRVING, TX 75038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			3628	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/002,276	CRIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thach H Bui	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, n y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 a, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct	ober 24, 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			e merits is			
4) Claim(s) 7-12,22-27,37-42 and 48 is/are pend	ling in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	l.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12, 22-27, 37-42, and 48</u> is/are reje	ected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	pted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC r:				

Application/Control Number: 09/002,276 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed October 24, 2002 has been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-12, 22-27, 37-42, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over InfoWorld, pTW1 and in view of Riskin (U.S. Patent No. 4,757,267).

InfoWorld substantially disclosed the claim invention, particularly a custom billing services in which all telecommunication bills are integrated into one bill. Note the article. Real time billing is provided as requested by customer. Having a database is not explicitly mention. Such is taught by Riskin. The Examiner notes that such would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in order to implement the system and in order to store customer's information. Thus, a skilled artisan would have found it obvious to combine InfoWorld with Riskin in order to implement the teachings of InfoWorld in a computer system.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed October 24, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/002,276

Art Unit: 3628

4. Applicant stated that InfoWorld does not disclose or suggest "converting a portion of the received request into at least one specifically-formatted provisioning request for each provider based on the received bundle code; and providing the provisioning request to the providers to initiate a product supply process by each provider". The Examiner disagrees. The InfoWorld teaches a "convergence billing". The article recites "Telecommunications companies are just now beginning to offer convergence billing....but it still has to integrate many other services from wholesale and affiliate partners, as well as any new services, such as Personal Communications Services (PCSes)" (paragraph 7 and 8 of the article". This proves that InfoWorld teaches a "convergence billing" wherein one specifically-formatted provisioning is requested for each provider based on the received bundle code; and provides the provisioning request to the providers to initiate a product supply process by each provider. The obvious example that the Examiner would like to point out is the Identification of an automobile of which contains a bundle of code. This bundle of code will identify this

Applicant's arguments have been fully responded to in the above rejection and also in the previous rejections.

particular vehicle of its origin i.e. where it was made, color, year, type and etc.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/002,276

Art Unit: 3628

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thach H Bui whose telephone number is 703-305-0063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough, can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Application/Control Number: 09/002,276

Art Unit: 3628

T.B.

November 25, 2002

Page 5